Welcome
FIRST Cohort (U54): RFA-RM-20-022
FIRST Coordination and Evaluation Center (U24): RFA-RM-20-023

Before We Begin

- Participants’ microphones and cameras are turned off for a better viewing experience.
- If you have questions, please submit them via the chat feature. We will either address your question during the Q&A or will do so as soon as we can after the webinar.
- If you have questions after the webinar, submit them to FIRSTNIH@nih.gov.
- This webinar is being recorded, and a recording and the slides will be made available at: https://commonfund.nih.gov/first in a few days.
AGENDA

2:00 – 2:10 PM  Welcome & Overview of the Common Fund Program  
Dr. Patricia Labosky

2:10 – 2:30 PM  FIRST Cohort Overview and Application Considerations  
Dr. Sanya A. Springfield

2:30 – 2:50 PM  FIRST Cohort Scientific Review Criteria  
Dr. Gabriel B. Fosu

2:50 – 3:10 PM  FIRST Coordination and Evaluation Center (CEC) Overview and Application Considerations  
Dr. Rina Das

3:10 – 3:20 PM  FIRST CEC Scientific Review Criteria  
Dr. Gabriel B. Fosu

3:20 – 4:00 PM  Q&A
Common Fund Overview

Patricia Labosky, Ph.D.

Program Leader
Office of Strategic Coordination
Division of Program Coordination, Planning, and Strategic Initiatives
Office of the Director, NIH
Origins of the Common Fund

2004: NIH Roadmap is launched
2006: Congress unanimously reauthorizes the NIH

Establishes the Division of Program Coordination, Planning, and Strategic Initiatives (DPCPSI) within Office of the Director and the NIH Common Fund to provide a dedicated source of funding to enable goal driven trans-NIH research.

Separate budget line for these programs.
Programs strive for national impact.
Common Fund Programs – FY21

New Types of Clinical Partnerships
- Illuminating the Druggable Genome
- HCS Research Collaboratory
- Knockout Mouse Phenotyping
- Gabriella Miller Kids First
- Metabolomics
- Glycoscience
- Extracellular RNA Communication
- High-Risk Research
- Pioneer Awards
- New Innovator Awards
- Transformative Research Awards
- Early Independence Awards
- Molecular Transducers of Physical Activity
- Human BioMolecular Atlas Program
- Acute to Chronic Pain Signatures
- Transformative High Resolution Cryo-Electron Microscopy

Harnessing Data Science for Health Discovery and Innovation in Africa
- Undiagnosed Diseases Network
- Enhancing the Diversity of the NIH-Funded Workforce
- Artificial Intelligence for Biomedical Excellence
- Stimulating Peripheral Activity to Relieve Conditions
- 4D Nucleome
- Global Health
- Somatic Cell Genome Editing
- Faculty Institutional Recruitment for Sustained Transformation

Transformative Workforce Support
- Cross-Cutting Infrastructure: Common Fund Data Ecosystem

commonfund.nih.gov
Criteria for Common Fund Programs

**Transformative:** Must have high potential to dramatically affect biomedical and/or behavioral research over the next decade.

**Catalytic:** Must achieve a defined set of high impact goals within 5-10 years.

**Synergistic:** Outcomes must synergistically promote and advance individual missions of NIH Institutes and Centers to benefit health.

**Cross-cutting:** Program areas must cut across missions of multiple NIH Institutes and Centers, be relevant to multiple diseases or conditions, and be sufficiently complex to require a coordinated, trans-NIH approach.

**Unique:** Must be something no other entity is likely or able to do.
Overarching Goal:
Create cultures of inclusive excellence (establishing and maintaining scientific environments that can cultivate and benefit from a full range of talent) at NIH-funded institutions.

Program Objectives are to establish:

- a Faculty cohort model for hiring, multi-level mentoring, professional development. At these Cohort awardee institutions, implement and sustain cultures of inclusive excellence.

- a Coordination and Evaluation Center (CEC): coordinate and facilitate development of strategies with FIRST Cohort awardees to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the FIRST program.
**FIRST Program Structure**

**Faculty Cohort**
- **RFA 1**
  - U54
  - RFA RM-20-022

  **Faculty cohort model** for hiring, multi-level mentoring, professional development. At these Cohort awardee institutions, implement and sustain cultures of inclusive excellence.

**Coordination & Evaluation Center (CEC)**
- **RFA 2**
  - U24
  - RFA RM-20-023

  **Coordination and Evaluation Center (CEC):** coordinate and facilitate development of strategies with FIRST Cohort awardees to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the FIRST program.
# Trans-NIH Working Group

**Co-Chairs:**
- Marie A. Bernard, M.D.
  Acting NIH Chief Officer for Scientific Workforce Diversity (OD)
- Gary H. Gibbons, M.D.
  Director, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI)
- Monica Webb Hooper, Ph.D.
  Deputy Director, National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD)
- Walter Koroshetz, M.D.
  Director, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)
- Eliseo J. Pérez-Stable, M.D.
  Director, National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD)
- Norman Sharpless, M.D.
  Director, National Cancer Institute (NCI)
- Dinah S. Singer, Ph.D.
  Director, Division of Cancer Biology, National Cancer Institute (NCI)

**WG Leaders:**
- Josephine Boyington, Ph.D. M.P.H. (NHLBI)
- Dionne Burt (NCI)
- Rina Das, Ph.D. (NIMHD)
- Brian Davis (NCI)
- Gabriel B. Fosu, Ph.D. (CSR)
- Priscilla Grant, J.D. (NIMHD)
- Janice Jeter (NCI)
- Michelle Jones-London, Ph.D. (NINDS)
- Patricia A. Labosky, Ph.D. (OD)
- Charlene LeFauve, Ph.D. (OD)
- Rebecca Lenzi, Ph.D. (OD)
- Sanya A. Springfield, Ph.D. (NCI)
- Derrick C. Tabor, Ph.D. (NIMHD)
- Crystal Wolfrey (NCI)

**WG Members:**
- Lawrence Agooda, M.D. (NIDDK)
- Hugh Auchincloss, M.D. (NIAID)
- Albert Avila, Ph.D. (NIDA)
- Andrea Beckel-Mitchener, Ph.D. (NIMH)
- Janet Cyr, Ph.D. (NIDCD)
- Zeynep Erim, Ph.D. (NIBIB)
- Tina Gatlin, Ph.D. (NHGRI)
- Melissa Ghim, Ph.D.(ORWH)
- Shoshana Kahana, Ph.D. (OD)
- Lynn King, Ph.D. (NIDCR)
- Katherine Nicholson (OD)
- Karen Parker, Ph.D. (OD)
- Charlotte Pratt, Ph.D., R.D. (NHLBI)
- Katrina Serrano, Ph.D. (NIDDK)
- Lyl Tomlinson, Ph.D. (OD)
- Reiko Toyama, Ph.D. (NICHD)
Next Speaker

Dr. Sanya A. Springfield
National Cancer Institute
FIRSTNIH@nih.gov

RFA-RM-20-022: NIH Faculty Institutional Recruitment for Sustainable Transformation (FIRST) Program: FIRST Cohort (U54)
Cohort Webinar Goal

• Highlight distinct and important parts of the program as you prepare your application.

*Read the RFA carefully for guidance on what to include in your application.*
Transform culture at NIH-funded extramural institutions

• Support institutions to:
  
  o Through the activities of the FIRST Cohort, implement and sustain cultures of **inclusive excellence** to be transformational for biomedical research at the awardee institutions and beyond

  o Build a biomedical research community by recruiting a **diverse cohort of early-career faculty in clusters of no fewer than three** who:
    
    • Have demonstrated strong commitment to promoting diversity and inclusive excellence; and are
    • Competitive for an advertised research tenure-track or equivalent faculty position
Program Overview

- 12 staggered awards: 4 awards each year
- Issue RFA each year for 3 years (2 more times), contingent upon the availability of funds
- Length of each award: 5 years

  - **Year 1**: launch year (planning) – for key personnel, core leaders, planning strategies for inclusive excellence, cluster hiring, faculty professional and research development, and search committees; collaborate with the FIRST CEC to develop milestones and metrics.
  - **Years 2-4**: for faculty cohort/cluster* hiring start-up packages; professional development and inclusive excellence activities
  - **Year 5**: for continued core activities (Administrative, Faculty Development, and Evaluation)

* All faculty should be hired by the end of year 3 of the cohort award period.
Eligibility

• Applicant institutions must:
  
  o Conduct research in the NIH mission areas
  o Provide evidence of commitment to diversity and inclusion
  o Apply as a:
    ▪ Limited-Resourced Institution (LRI)
    ▪ Highly Resourced Institution (HRI)
    ▪ Partnership

Review Part 2, Section 1, Objectives in RFA-RM-20-022.
Limited-Resourced Institutions

Highly Resourced Institutions

• **Limited-Resourced Institution (LRI):** institutions offering doctorate degrees in the health professions or in a health-related science and that have a historical and current commitment to educating underrepresented students, and, for institutions that provide clinical health care services, to medically underserved communities. LRIs must have received less than $50 million average in annual NIH funds within the three years prior to the time of application.

• **Highly Resourced Institution (HRI):** institutions that have received more than $50 million average in annual NIH funds within the three years prior to the time of application.

• Are you unclear on how your institution should apply? Visit the [FIRSTFAQs](https://www.commonfund.nih.gov) to access a decision tree.
Partnerships

• Any combination of LRI and/or HRI: LRI-LRI, LRI-HRI, or HRI-HRI.

• The number of faculty supported in a partnership cohort must be based on prior planning and what was proposed and justified in the application. If a partnership includes an HRI, it must hire no fewer than 10 new faculty. If a partnership includes two LRIs, it must hire no fewer than 6 new faculty.

• Are you unclear on how your institution should apply? Visit the FIRST FAQs to access a decision tree.

Review Part 2, Section I, Objectives in RFA-RM-20-022.
## Overall Goals and Specific Measurable Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Measurable Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Institutional Culture Change for Inclusive Excellence** | • Demonstrate Institutional Support  
• Develop Strategic Plan  
• Achieve **Significant Systemic And Sustainable Institutional Culture Change Over Baseline**  
• Develop Evaluation Plan |
| **Hiring a Diverse Cohort of Faculty**    | • Conduct Recruitment Activities  
• Outline Institutional Commitments  
• Develop Recruitment Committees  
• Establish Retention Plan |
| **Faculty Professional Development and Mentoring** | • Establish Individual Research, Career Development, and Mentorship Plans  
• Describe How Program Will Reduce Isolation, Increase Community Building, And Foster Career Development |

*Review Part 2, Section I, Objectives in [RFA-RM-20-022](https://commonfund.nih.gov).*
**Program Structure**

- **Administrative Core**: Supports and manages the FIRST Cohort program. Senior academic staff, key personnel, core leaders, faculty hiring.
  - Hire institutional cohort (no fewer than 6 for LRI; 10 for HRI; for partnerships, based on prior planning and what was proposed and justified in the application. If a partnership includes an HRI, no fewer than 10; If a partnership includes two LRIs, no fewer than 6.)
  - Design cohort and hire clusters of faculty (no fewer than 3 scientists per cluster) by strategic needs (areas of research, departments)

- **Faculty Development Core**: Supports and manages faculty development activities.
  - Designs and implements professional, research development and mentoring programs.
  - Develops programs to enhance inclusive excellence, reduce isolation, and increase community building.

- **Evaluation Core**: Supports and evaluates the FIRST Cohort program at the applicant institution and collaboratively with the FIRST CEC.
  - Applicants must incorporate aims that are appropriate to the strategies to ensure that planning, monitoring, evaluation, and tracking of program activities will be continuously ongoing, shared as negotiated, and reported to the FIRST CEC.
# FIRST Cohort Estimated Budget Per Award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Direct Cost Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>$3,275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>$3,275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>$3,275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$10,245,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These awards are contingent upon NIH appropriations and the submission of a sufficient number of meritorious applications. Future year amounts will depend on annual appropriations.

*Review Part 2, Section IV.2, Budget (Overall) in [RFA-RM-20-022](https://commonfund.nih.gov).*
**Other Requirements**

**Program Directors/Principal Investigators**

**Eligible Individuals (Program Director/Principal Investigator)**
Any individual(s) with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as the Program Director(s)/Principal Investigator(s) (PD(s)/PI(s)) is invited to work with his/her organization to develop an application for support. Individuals from underrepresented racial and ethnic groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply for NIH support.

**Effort Commitments**

- For effective leadership, individuals designated as PD(s)/PI(s) must be meaningfully committed to the program.

- Contact PD(s)/PI(s): Each contact PD(s)/PI(s) is expected to commit a minimum of 20% or 2.4 person months of effort per year, with a maximum of three person months effort per year.

- Multi-PD(s)/PI(s): The effort commitment of each multi-PD(s)/PI(s) must be justified and appropriate.

Review Part 2, Section III.1, Eligible Individuals (Program Director/Principal Investigator) and Section IV.2, Budget (Administrative Core) in [RFA-RM-20-022](https://commonfund.nih.gov).
Other Requirements

Number of Applications:

- FIRST Cohort Award: Only one application per institution, normally identified by having a unique DUNS number or NIH IPF number, is allowed.

- Institutions are eligible to apply to both the FIRST Cohort and FIRST CEC RFAs, however, an institution can only be awarded one or the other. If an applicant receives a FIRST CEC Award, they will not be eligible to receive a FIRST Cohort Award, and vice versa.

Review Part 2, Section III.3, Number of Applications in RFA-RM-20-022.
The following components must be included for an application to be deemed responsive:

- Must describe **development of institutional/organizational policies and practices** that focus on the identification and elimination of organizational barriers that **impede the full participation and advancement of faculty from diverse backgrounds in academia**.

- Must include **written "Letters of Support"** from the institution leadership(s).

- Must include **Administrative, Faculty Development, and Evaluation Cores**, and justification for all costs associated with cores.

- Each cohort (**LRI, HRI, or Partnership**) must be large enough to create an interactive group and include the opportunity for and encourage collaborations between relevant departments, divisions, and institutions to help achieve FIRST program goals.
  
  - **HRIs**, no fewer than 10 scientists.
  - **LRIs**, no fewer than 6 scientists.
  - **Partnerships**: the number of scientists supported must be based on prior planning and what was proposed and justified in the application (must define institutional type in the application). If a partnership includes an HRI, it must hire no fewer than 10 scientists. If a partnership includes two LRIs, it must hire no fewer than 6 scientists.

- Applicants should follow the application guidelines e.g., overstuffing, using smaller fonts, etc. The Division of Receipt and Referral could decline an application if an applicant violates such guidelines.

*Review Part 2, Section I, Applications Not Responsive to this FOA in RFA-RM-20-022.*
Overall Component

Institutional Support
Applications must include a written "Letter of Support" from the institution leadership and, if a partnership application, from the leaderships of each of the applicant institutions (e.g., President, Dean) to show support of the FIRST Cohort program. This is likely to include:

- **Commitment of additional resources** necessary to ensure that the program will have the maximum success and sustainability

- The **specific number of faculty hires** expected and commitment to sustainable institutional culture change

- How the institution(s) would **monitor these efforts** and specific steps and procedures to ensure the institution(s) **achieve the planned goals and objectives**

*Review Part 2, Section IV.2, Institutional Support in [RFA-RM-20-022](commonfund.nih.gov)*
Overall Component Continued

**Letters of Support** must include statements detailing:

- **Effort of commitment** of the designated PD(s)/PI(s)

- Activities that each faculty listed as Key Personnel is being **released from**

- **Provisions for recruitment of new faculty members**, including supplemental funds for startup and professional development and expected number of faculty to be hired

- Provisions to leverage funds for **long-term sustainability** of FIRST Cohort-supported activities

- If an institution is applying as an LRI, **statement demonstrating that the institution meets the LRI criteria**

*Review Part 2, Section IV.2, Letters of Support in RFA-RM-20-022.*
Administrative Core

• **Salary and Start-up Package:** Demonstrate that the new faculty will receive salary and start-up package or other institutional support equitable to that given to other faculty recently hired into research tenure-track or equivalent faculty positions.

• **Search, Recruitment, and Hiring:**
  • Recruitment Search Protocol: Highlight evidence-based strategies for reducing discrimination grounded in race, sex, and other federally protected characteristics. Describe the rubric for interviewing processes that are institution wide and characteristic of inclusive excellence goals. Describe how search committees and collaborative efforts across departments and institutions to hire and retain new faculty will be established.
  
  • Strategies, interventions, and organizational policies and practices for hiring and search committees, including recruitment and outreach activities.

• **Faculty Appointment in Hiring Unit:** Demonstrate that faculty will receive a faculty appointment(s) within an academic unit that is part of the Hiring Unit (the department or center in which the new faculty members reside).

• **75% Protected Research Time:** For new faculty, for the length of the award for future transition to competitive research awards.

Review Part 2, Section IV.2, Administrative Core in RFA-RM-20-022.
• **New Faculty Tenure and Promotion**: Describe the expectations for new faculty tenure and promotion, as well as the plan for how the start-up packages and other resources for professional development and progression will be equitable for all faculty in the appropriate area of research.

• **Institutional diversity and inclusion strategic plan for inclusive excellence and climate data collection**—necessary institutional data to indicate changes to culture of inclusive excellence at the faculty, department, and institution level.

• **Post-Award**: Include a plan for sustaining institutional commitments, policies, practices, and culture changes system-wide aimed toward inclusive excellence after the FIRST award ends.

• **Retention Plan**: Explain the expectations for the Retention Plan for new faculty hires.

• **Partnership-Specific Information**: Included among the partnership-specific information are the partnership co-location and integration activities.

*Review Section IV.2, Administrative Core in [RFA-RM-20-022](https://commonfund.nih.gov).*
Faculty Development Core

• Describe the plans and programs to **enhance inclusive excellence, reduce isolation, increase community building, and provide support for new faculty to overcome institutional or structural challenges** associated with academic advancements.

• Identify the types of practices to **address isolation and racial/ethnic, gender, or other types of discrimination or injustices**, such as the disproportionate assignment of committee work or other administrative tasks to URM faculty members.

• **Promotion, Tenure, and Academic Advancements:**
  • Detail the efforts for new faculty promotion, tenure, and academic advancements within the cohort model, including how new faculty will receive credit in the tenure and promotion process for any collaborative work they perform as clusters.
  
  • Describe the institution’s promotion process and how unique aspects of certain fields of study are considered in tenure-related decisions.
  
  • Explain the plans for **organizational integration and networking of the new faculty into their cohort and cluster**, as well as into the fabric of the Hiring Unit and across the departments/institution(s)/organization(s).

*Review Part 2, Section IV.2, Faculty Development Core in [RFA-RM-20-022](https://commonfund.nih.gov).*
Faculty Development Core

- Research Development, Career Development, and Professional Development:
  - Strategies for developing individual research development plans, career development approaches, and professional development activities for all FIRST faculty, including how new faculty will be establishing independent research careers leading to grant submission to NIH or other funding sources.

- Mentoring and Sponsorship Programs:
  - Planned or already in place.
  - Target expectations for faculty achieving competitive grant applications (e.g., R-type awards or equivalents).
  - Diversity of the mentors, how mentoring committee(s) will be constituted and tailored based on the individual needs of the faculty.
  - How mentoring plans will include dedicated senior mentors, sponsors, and small peer/near-peer group mentoring.

- Networking: The types of opportunities to network among faculty at a similar career level and with senior faculty and administrative officials at the institution, as well as opportunities to establish relationships in the new faculty's scientific community.

Review Part 2, Section IV.2, Faculty Development Core in RFA-RM-20-022.
Evaluation Core

• **Testing the Primary Hypothesis**: that a cohort and cluster design model of faculty hiring, sponsorship, continual mentoring, and professional development, embedded within an institution implementing evidence-based practices to create academic cultures of inclusive excellence, will achieve significant improvements in metrics of institutional culture and scientific discovery.

• **Institutional Change Over Baseline**: Strategies, interventions, institutional policies, evidence-based practices, and other activities that will be implemented to **achieve significant systemic and sustainable institutional change over baseline (at faculty, department, and institution level)**.

• Evaluation strategies for achieving the major milestones associated with hiring a diverse cohort and faculty development, progression, and retention.

• The approaches to analyze data to yield fundamental insights about factors that determine whether the new faculty—pursuing biomedical research careers in an inclusive scientific environment—are supported, retained, and ultimately excel in these careers.

• **Collaboration with FIRST CEC**: Describe how collaboration with the FIRST CEC will be facilitated to: develop program milestones and metrics; determine how faculty survey, minimum common data elements on faculty and institutional culture, and all other data will be entered, cleaned, and transferred to the FIRST CEC, in accordance with an established timeline; and to harmonize measures.

Review Part 2, Section IV.2, Evaluation Core in RFA-RM-20-022.
**FIRST Cohort Awardees Responsible for:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluating your program.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collecting quantitative and qualitative data e.g., focus groups, observations of processes, and collecting survey data and sharing the data with the FIRST Coordination and Evaluation Center (CEC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing the set of common data elements identified by the FIRST CEC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>May also add measures of interest to their research team and institution.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Review Part 2, Section 1, Objectives in [RFA-RM-20-022](https://commonfund.nih.gov).*
Tips for Developing a Competitive Application

Competitive applications will show:

- Feasibility to hire a diverse cohort of new faculty
- Demonstration and commitment of the applicant institution to move toward inclusive excellence
- Adequate evidence supporting the use of the models and frameworks and their application to the FIRST Cohort program
- Reviewers will expect specifics on quantitative milestones, timeline for and number of hires, feasibility of goals
- Clusters of scientists, not multiple n = 1 spread across an institution
- Strong institutional support
- Evidence of sustainability after the award ends
### Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open Date</th>
<th>Letter of Intent Due Date</th>
<th>Application Due Date</th>
<th>Scientific Merit Review</th>
<th>Advisory Council Review</th>
<th>Earliest Start Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Dr. Gabriel B. Fosu

NIH Center for Scientific Review

FIRSTNIH@nih.gov

Scientific Review Criteria for the FIRST Cohort (U54)
Review – Who Will Review My Application?

• Reviewed in Center for Scientific Review (CSR)
• Special Emphasis Panels (SEP) – no need to look up and request a standing study section. One-time panels held to review applications on special topics.
• Include only temporary members
• Meeting rosters will be posted online 30 days before the review meeting - https://public.csr.nih.gov/StudySections/SpecialEmphasis/
• Contact Program Director before submission
• Contact Scientific Review Officer during period of review
• Contact Program Director after release of summary statements
Key Considerations

Review Criteria

• Refer to Section V of the RFA: “Application Review Information.”
• Read the criteria in full.
• Applications need to have addressed the items identified in these review criteria in order to do well.

• “In addition, for this RFA”: Pay particular attention to the RFA-specific criteria, listed under each of the scored review criteria categories.

• Pay particular attention to Partnership-specific review criteria (if applicable).

• Additional Review Criteria: Protections for Human Subjects.
Overall Impact and Review Criteria

Overall Impact

- Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, considering strengths and weaknesses across the 5 core criteria (Significance, project team, innovation, approach, and environment) and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

- Reviewers will consider each of the review criteria below in the determination of scientific merit and give a separate score for each.

Significance *

- Assuming that all the aims are successful, does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field, or has the ability to improve knowledge, technical capability or clinical practice in a major (1-3), moderate (4-6) or minor (7-9) way?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
Investigators and Innovation

Investigators

In addition, for this RFA:

Leadership and Key Personnel*

• Are the roles and responsibilities of key leadership, including experience and expertise needed to lead and guide the FIRST Cohort program, adequate?

Innovation

• Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
Approach and Environment

Approach
In addition, for this RFA*
• Strategy and Methodology
• Professional Development and Progression

Environment
In addition, for this RFA*
• Culture and Environment*

In Addition, for Partnership Applications*

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
Administrative Core

Reviewers will provide an overall merit descriptor score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the core to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

- Leadership and Key Personnel*
- Management*
- Strategy and Methodology*
- Professional Development and Progression*
- Culture and Environment*

In addition, for Partnership Applications*
- Are the Administrative Core co-leadership roles and responsibilities detailed?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
Faculty Development Core

Reviewers will provide an overall merit descriptor score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the core to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

- Leadership and Key Personnel*
- Management*
- Strategy and Methodology*
- Professional Development and Progression*
- Culture and Environment*

In addition, for Partnership Applications*

- Are the Faculty Development Core **co-leadership roles** and responsibilities detailed?
- Is there an adequate plan for the **partnership co-location and integration activities**?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.*
Evaluation Core

Reviewers will provide an overall merit descriptor score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the core to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

• Leadership and Key Personnel*
• Management*
• Strategy and Methodology*
• Institutional Support Letter*

In addition, for Partnership Applications*
• Are the Evaluation Core co-leadership roles and responsibilities detailed?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
**Additional Review Criteria: Overall and Cores**

*These points can affect scoring if not addressed appropriately but they do not receive separate scores.*

**Protection for Human Subjects:** Assess potential risks posed to human subjects and adequacy of protection against these risks.

**Inclusion of Women, Minority and inclusion (or exclusion) of individuals of all ages across the lifespan (including children and older adults)**
Overall Impact: The likelihood for a project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on research field(s) involved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Impact</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluating Overall Impact:
Consider the 5 criteria: significance, investigator, innovation, approach, environment (weighted based on reviewer’s judgment) and other score influences, e.g.: human subjects, animal welfare, inclusion plans, and biohazards.

- e.g. Applications are addressing a problem of high importance/interest in the field. May have some or no weaknesses.
- e.g. Applications may be addressing a problem of high importance in the field, but weaknesses in the criteria bring down the overall impact to medium.
- e.g. Applications may be addressing a problem of moderate importance in the field, with some or no weaknesses.
- e.g. Applications may be addressing a problem of moderate/high importance in the field, but weaknesses in the criteria bring down the overall impact to low.
- e.g. Applications may be addressing a problem of low or no importance in the field, with some or no weaknesses.

5 is a good medium-impact application, and the entire scale (1-9) should always be considered.
Dr. Rina Das
National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities
FIRSTNIH@nih.gov

RFA-RM-20-023:
NIH Faculty Institutional Recruitment for Sustainable Transformation (FIRST) Program:
FIRST Coordination and Evaluation Center (CEC)
Purpose

To coordinate and facilitate the development of strategies with FIRST Cohort awardees to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the FIRST program.
Key Activities

1. Evaluation
2. Data Coordination
3. Communication/Dissemination
Key Activities- Evaluation

• At **multiple levels** (institutional, departmental, faculty) related to the program goals:
  • **Establish evaluation** approaches, logic models, and short/intermediate/long term common data elements
  • **Develop novel and innovative** quantitative and qualitative data analytic approaches
  • **Identify measures** for assessing the impact of inclusive excellence
  • **Assess the impact** of key strategies and other innovative approaches implemented at FIRST Cohort sites.
  • Assess measurable changes in metrics from **baseline**
  • Conduct a **comprehensive evaluation**
Key Activities- Data Coordination

• Establish and coordinate approaches for the data including:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>collection</th>
<th>quality control standards</th>
<th>analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>storage</td>
<td>cleaning</td>
<td>related functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>harmonization</td>
<td>management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• **Lead** the development of a data use and **data sharing agreement plan** for the FIRST Cohort sites.
• **Facilitate and coordinate** collection of the minimum set of common data elements from FIRST Cohort awardees
• **Receive** quantitative and quantitative data, analyze the data, **provide statistical oversight**, and **generate reports**
Key Activities- Communication/Dissemination

- Coordinate and **facilitate communication** through regular meetings, committees, and workgroups as needed.
- **Disseminate** successful evidence-based practices, and lessons learned across FIRST Cohort sites.
- **Manage and disseminate** all FIRST program-related peer-reviewed research publications, non-peer reviewed publications, and invited presentations.
- Plan and coordinate **annual meetings**.
Application Considerations

General:
- Provide timeline and detailed quantitative annual milestones.
- Key personnel attributes: strong scientific expertise; ability to work collaboratively and experience coordinating and evaluating large projects.

For Evaluation Activities
- Describe the theoretical models and conceptual frameworks that will guide evaluation activities and the overall evaluation plan.
- Describe approaches for conducting a comprehensive evaluation of the FIRST program.
- Describe strategies for identifying and harmonizing a minimum set of common data elements.
- Describe approaches to measure and evaluate culture change towards diversity and inclusiveness.

Review part 2 of Section IV in RFA-RM20-023.
For Data Coordination activities:
- Describe approaches for standardized data collection, and data harmonization activities across FIRST Cohort awardees.
- Describe approaches for developing data use and data sharing agreements.

For Communication and Dissemination activities:
- Describe approaches to facilitate and coordinate FIRST program activities across sites and award cycles.
- Include plans for fostering communication e.g., arranging and hosting monthly PD/PI conference calls.
- Describe dissemination strategies to keep various stakeholders informed about program-wide practices, lessons learned.

Review part 2 of Section IV in RFA-RM20-023.
Budget for RFA-RM-20-023

Year 1: 840K
Year 2: 1.5M
Year 3: 1.5M
Year 4: 1.5M
Year 5: 1.5M

The award is contingent upon NIH appropriations and the submission of a sufficient number of meritorious applications. Future year amounts will depend on annual appropriations.
Frequently Asked Questions

• Will the FIRST CEC be expected to evaluate each FIRST Cohort awardee program? No

• Will the FIRST CEC be expected to come up with common data elements toward each FIRST program goals? Applicants may suggest a set of common data elements in their application; however, they will be expected to collaborate with FIRST Cohort institutions post award to refine, finalize them.

• Will the FIRST CEC’s evaluation be expected to compare any of the cohorts to a comparator or control group? No

• Are institutions allowed to submit more than one application for this RFA? Only one application per institution, identified by having a unique DUNS number or NIH IPF number, is allowed

• Are institutions eligible to apply to both the FIRST Cohort and FIRST CEC RFAs? Yes, however, an institution can only be awarded one or the other.
Dr. Gabriel B. Fosu
NIH Center for Scientific Review
FIRSTNIH@nih.gov

Scientific Review Criteria for the FIRST CEC
Review – Who Will Review My Application?

• Reviewed in Center for Scientific Review (CSR)
• Special Emphasis Panels (SEP) – no need to look up and request a standing study section. One-time panels held to review applications on special topics.
• Include only temporary members
• Meeting rosters will be posted online 30 days before the review meeting - https://public.csr.nih.gov/StudySections/SpecialEmphasis/
• Contact Program Director before submission
• Contact Scientific Review Officer during period of review
• Contact Program Director after release of summary statements
Key Considerations

Review Criteria

• Refer to Section V of the RFA: “Application Review Information.”
• Read the criteria in full.
• Applications need to have addressed the items identified in these review criteria in order to do well.

• “In addition, for this RFA”: Pay particular attention to the RFA-specific criteria, listed under each of the scored review criteria categories.

• Additional Review Criteria: Protections for Human Subjects
Overall Impact

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, considering strengths and weaknesses across the 5 core criteria (Significance, investigator(s), innovation, approach, and environment) and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

Scored Review Criteria

Reviewers will consider each of the review criteria below in the determination of scientific merit and give a separate score for each.

Significance

In addition, specific to this RFA*

- To what extent are the proposed activities of the FIRST CEC likely to advance our understanding of evaluating strategies for cohort and inclusive excellence program?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
Investigator(s) and Innovation

Investigator(s)

In addition, specific to this RFA*

- Have the PD(s)/PI(s) demonstrated the ability to coordinate complex programs to establish and implement joint goals?
- Do the investigators have the necessary experience and scientific/technical expertise to coordinate, manage, and analyze the types of data that will be collected from the FIRST Cohort awardees?

Innovation

In addition, specific to this RFA*

- Does the application include innovative quantitative or qualitative approaches, methodologies, or study designs to evaluate the effectiveness or impact of programs designed to enhance inclusive excellence in the biomedical research workforce?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
Approach and Environment

Approach

In addition, specific to this RFA*

• Are the plans to collaborate with FIRST Cohort awardees adequate to facilitate and coordinate FIRST program activities across sites and award cycles?
• Are the coordination, evaluation, and communication infrastructure and processes, and available resources in place and adequate to support the overall mission of the FIRST CEC?
• Are the proposed evaluation methods robust?

Environment

In addition, specific to this RFA*

• Is the environment of the awardee institution adequate to support the FIRST CEC in accomplishing its goal of coordinating and evaluating the FIRST Cohort activities?

*Please see complete list of questions in RFA.
Important Contacts

Scientific/Research Contact(s)

RFA-RM-20-022
– Sanya Springfield, Ph.D., NCI
– Tel: 240-276-6170
– Email: FIRST@nih.gov

RFA-RM-20-023
– Rina Das, Ph.D., NIMHD
– Tel: 301-496-3996
– Email: FIRST@nih.gov

Peer Review Contact
– Gabriel Fosu, Ph.D.; CSR
– Tel: 301-435-3562
– Email: Gabriel.Fosu@nih.gov

Common Fund
– Trish Labosky, Ph.D., OD/OSC
– Tel: 301-594-4863
– Email: patricia.labosky@nih.gov
Trans-NIH Working Group

Josephine Boyington, Ph.D., M.P.H. (NHLBI)
Dionne Burt (NCI)
Rina Das, Ph.D. (NIMHD)
Brian Davis (NCI)
Gabriel B. Fosu, Ph.D. (CSR)
Priscilla Grant, J.D. (NIMHD)
Janice Jeter (NCI)
Michelle Jones-London, Ph.D. (NINDS)
Patricia A. Labosky, Ph.D. (OD)
Charlene LeFauve, Ph.D. (OD)
Rebecca Lenzi, Ph.D. (OD)
Katherine Nicholson (OD)
Sanya A. Springfield, Ph.D. (NCI)
Derrick C. Tabor, Ph.D. (NIMHD)
Crystal Wolfrey (NCI)
Questions?

- Submit questions via the chat feature at this time.
- If you have questions after the webinar, submit them to FIRSTNIH@nih.gov.
FIRST Webinar